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Sir, did you read the Federal Court of Appeal decision?

Sir, did you read the decision of Justice32 Barnes?

Sir, when you certified that everything was43
true on page 8 of the application, were you being truthful?

Sir, I'm going to put to you that there were48 costs in the amount of $\$ 2,995.65$ plus interest payable to Sawridge First Nation as a result of the judicial review application and that you have not paid those costs.

Did you appeal this to the Federal Court of Appea1?

Sir, I'm going to put to you that you were 51 ordered to pay costs in the amount of $\$ 898.70$ on June 14th of 2016 to Sawridge First Nation, and these costs are not paid. would you agree with that?

Sir, do you still owe costs to the trustees for53 that application to the Court of Appeal? okay. And, sir, did you also bring a human rights complaint against Sawridge First Nation? would you agree with me, sir, that you brought57 the same matters that you had brought to the Federal Court previously to the Canadian Human Rights Commission?

Sir, do you understand that, regarding the 198558 trust, "beneficiaries" means all persons who qualified as members of the Sawridge Indian Band pursuant to the provisions of the Indian ACt as of April 15th, 1982?

Did you qualify as a member of the band on April 15th; 1982?

Had anything changed as of April 15th, 1982,
where you were identified that -- sorry, you were advised that you qualified as a member after having become enfranchised in 1944? Sir, do you understand that with respect to the60 1986 trust, beneficiary status is restricted to members?

Sir, have you ever read the 1985 trust?61
Sir, have you read the 1986 trust deed? ..... 62
okay. Sir, going back to paragraph 12 of your 64 here before, "All of our applications forhere before, "Al7 of our applications for
membership in Sawridge were ignored," and we were focussing on your application. Can you te11 me whose applications you mean when you say "our applications," the word O-U-R?



Q okay. Can you -- do you understand where it says, "Application to be Added as a Party or Intervener by Maurice Felix Stoney and his Brothers and sisters"?

A Yes.
Q So do you understand, then, sir, that you are applying to be added as a party to Court of Queen's Bench Action Number 110314112 ?

A Yes.
Q okay.
MS. KENNEDY: That's the court number.

A okay.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: And, alternatively, you're seeking to be added as an intervener in that party; is that correct?

A Intervener?
MS. KENNEDY:
He is not going to understand that at all.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Okay. okay. Fair enough, sir. okay. Can I just draw your attention, then, to where it says, under -- beside "document," "By Maurice Felix Stoney and his brothers and sisters"?

A Application... Yeah.
Q okay. You're bringing this application on behalf of your brothers and sisters?

A Yes.
Q okay. And do you have their consent to do that?


A Yes.
Q okay. So this application is brought by all 10 of you?
A M-hm. Yes.
Q Thank you, sir. And, sir, if I can draw your attention down to paragraph 2(a) of your application, and it says, "Addition of Maurice Stoney, Billy Stoney, Angeline Stoney, Linda Stoney, Bernie Stoney, Betty-Jean Stoney, Gail Stoney, Alma Stoney, Alva Stoney, and Brian Stoney as beneficiaries of these trusts."
A Yes.
Q Sir, are you also attempting in this application to have yourself declared a beneficiary of the trusts?
A Yes.
Q You are. Okay. Sir, I'm going to take you quite a bit further back, then, and I want to ask you a question about your grandfather. So I understand your grandfather's name was Johnny Stoney?

A Yes.
Q okay. And Johnny Stoney -- and we can look to paragraph 2 of your Affidavit. Am I correct in understanding that Johnny Stoney was originally a member of the Alexander band?
A Originally, yes.
Q okay. And that's under Treaty 6; correct?
A Yes.

Q okay. And he was transferred to the Sawridge band; correct?

A In 1895, I believe.
Q okay. okay. So then turning, sir, to paragraph 6 of your Affidavit. You describe that your father's name was william Stoney; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q okay. And in paragraph 7, you state, "In 1944, my father william Stoney and all of his family, including me, along with other members of Sawridge band were enfranchised because he was working." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q okay. It's true, though, sir, that your father voluntarily enfranchised; correct?
A Yes.
Q okay. So he -- he personally -- intentionally brought an application to be made a full citizen of Canada and relinquished his Indian status; correct?
MS. KENNEDY: Just a minute. when you ask him about being a full citizen of canada, that's a false statement because you couldn't be a full citizen of Canada in 1944.
MS. LAFUENTE: okay.
MS. KENNEDY: Couldn't be a full citizen of Canada until, at the very earliest, 1955.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: okay. Sir, I stand corrected.

He voluntarily sought to relinquish his Indian status; is that correct?
MS. KENNEDY: I think you'd better use the word that's used.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Okay. He voluntarily enfranchised; is that correct?

A Um...
MS. KENNEDY: Maurice, as I said, you've got -- this is your opportunity to answer the questions. You have to answer them. If that's what you want to say, you say it. okay?
A Well, in my -- my opinion, he wasn't -- he didn't voluntarily -- volunteer to be enfranchised. He was forced out of the -- of the band by the Federal Government, the government of that era or that particular time.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: okay.
A But he did enfranchise --
Q okay.
A -- because of the residential school scenario.
Q okay. So just going one step further back, then. Your father brought the application to be enfranchised --

A Yes.
Q -- is that correct?
A Yes.
Q okay. I'm going to turn, then, to your Affidavit,
page -- that's numbered page 28 on the bottom. And so, you have that document in front of you?

A Yes.
Q okay. And that is a part of Exhibit I to your Affidavit?

A Yep.
Q okay. Sir, can I ask you, firstly, the copy of this letter which is dated July 2nd, 1943, at the top, you see that?
A M-hm, yeah.
Q The copy that I have in front of me has some annotations at the bottom that are entitled "notes." Do you know whose writing that is?

A Mine.
Q That's your writing?
A Yeah.
Q okay. So where it starts at "notes" all the way down to the word "reserve" --

A Yeah.
Q -- that's your handwriting?
A Yeah. Yes.
Q okay. okay. So you have provided some commentary on this document?

A Yes.
Q okay. And the initials "M.S." that appear on the bottom, are those your initials?
A Yes.

Q okay. The question marks that appear throughout the document, are those written by you?
A Yes. Yes.
Q okay. And why did you write the question marks?
A Well, I didn't understand it, and I don't see why it was written in the -- in the way that -- like, if the person was forced to do something, you know.
Q okay. So, sir, the question marks aren't due to the fact that you can't read on this photocopy what's written behind the highlighted portions?
A well, I guess $I$ just didn't understand.
Q okay. Fair enough. Sir, I'm going to show you now a document, and this document was attached as Exhibit $B$ to an Affidavit of Roland Twinn in a Federal Court application. I'11 show you that document now.

MS. KENNEDY: Just a minute. Before I'm going to show that to him, you're going to explain to me how that relates to this Affidavit.

MS. LAFUENTE:
well, I'm going to refer to this document more than once today, but with respect to this particular instance, I would turn to page 28 of the document. It's the second-1ast page, and it is a better copy of the letter that you've attached as an exhibit to his Affidavit where we can read what is behind the highlighting. MS. KENNEDY: okay. We11, if you're
entering it, then we'll just enter this one page. MS. LAFUENTE: We can enter the one page for now. okay. So we're going to enter page 28.

MS. KENNEDY:
I'm going to have a look at it, first of all. Mr. Stoney, so --

MS. LAFUENTE:
Q MS. LAFUENTE:
MS. KENNEDY:
MS. LAFUENTE:
MS. KENNEDY: to have an opportunity to review it.

MS. LAFUENTE:
MS. KENNEDY:
MS. LAFUENTE:
MS: KENNEDY:
'J'?
MS. BONORA:
MS. KENNEDY:
As an exhibit. wait.

Yeah? okay. We will let you know. Go ahead. 28. seen this document in this form.
MS. LAFUENTE:
Well, I think, firstly, we've already entered it as an exhibit, but what I'm -MS. KENNEDY:

No. You attempted to enter it as an exhibit. We haven't yet agreed to that.

MS. LAFUENTE:
okay. My understanding --
MS. KENNEDY:
It hasn't been identified by
Sir, do you understand --

We're not finished. We have which page was it again under
28. Perhaps you might want to ask Mr. Stoney first if he has ever independently MS. KENNEDY:

MS. LAFUENTE:
MS. KENNEDY: been identified, so --

MS. LAFUENTE: Okay. So my understanding when you indicated earlier that you were prepared to put this one page and you ripped the page from the package was that you were agreeing to this page --
MS. KENNEDY:
MS. LAFUENTE:
MS. KENNEDY: one goes in or not, it will be this one page alone.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: okay. Sir, I'm going to put to you that this page, this document, was part of the package that you submitted to the band in your application for membership, which application was submitted on August 30th, 2011. Does that ring true to you, sir?
A I maybe don't understand what you're -- what you're applying to.
Q Sir, did you submit this document as part of your application for membership in the Sawridge First Nation?

A Well, I guess I did because it's got my initial on it.

MS. LAFUENTE: I'd like to enter this document as an exhibit, please.

MS. KENNEDY:
Yeah, that can go in as --
this will be Exhibit 1 ?
EXHIBIT 1:
Letter dated Ju7y 2, 1943
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, I think you were indicating that the highlighting on the document you suspect was on the document when you received it. That's correct?

A Pretty sure, yeah.
Q okay. And who did you receive the documents from?
A It was from Indian Affairs.
Q okay.
A Along with other -- other documents of my grandfather's, like this history, background, and I think it was -- there was supposed to have been 32 pages. There was four missing. Somebody got those four and said they couldn't find them for some odd reason. Somebody went through them, filed them, and these four pages were missing, and they still can't find them, so...

Q okay. Sir, I'm just going to read the last two paragraphs to you. And it's -- it says: (As read)

I don't intend to ask the Department for anything at any time in the way of help, and I do not see any reason why I should not have full citizen rights. If you will send --

And I think it says me --
-- an application form, I would be glad to fill it out immediately and return it to you. The sooner I can complete all arrangements and get out of Treaty, the better it would please me.

And then it is signed, william

J. Stoney.

Sir, do you recognize the
signature of your father on this document?
A Yes, but he didn't write that.
Q Sorry. You recognize the signature?
A Yeah.
Q okay. And what did he not write?
A This, whatever it is.
Q and --
A Because it was written by a justice of the peace in Slave Lake by the name of conklin (phonetic), I think.

Q okay. And what's your information to suggest that?
A Because when I was sent -- I was looking -- I was searching for stuff too for my -- why they claim that I'm not a band member, eh, and I've got a pile so high. Some of this was included when I asked Indian Affairs different things, and this is why they sent -- they sent me this, and they said,
well, it's been gone through. They didn't tell me who, and there was four or five pages missing, so -- and I know he didn't write that because he couldn't -- his handwriting wasn't -- after residential school, they come out of there with two, three -- after 9 years he spent, I think he had a Grade 4 education.

Q okay.
A He can -- he could write. He could -- he was a -that's his signature as I've seen it before, eh.
Q okay. So you're confirming that that is his signature on this document?
A Yes.
Q Thank you. And, sir, you'll agree with me that your father received payment when he enfranchised?
A Yeah, \$600.
Q Your recollection is that it was $\$ 600$ ?
A That's what it said in a -- I don't know, and some cents. okay.
Q okay. But it's your understanding that he did receive payment?
A Yeah. Yeah.
Q Thank you.
A And if I might add, there was some other people that were forced out, out of the band. They received over $750, \$ 1,000$ each as opposed to his 600.

Q okay. So, sir, turning, then, back to paragraph 7 of your Affidavit. okay. You'71 see that it says, "My father william Stoney and all of his family, including me," and then it says, "were enfranchised," but I want to just clarify, sir, william only had two children that were alive as of 1944, and that would be yourself and Alvin; correct?
A Yeah. Alvin is deceased now.
Q okay. So the parties that were enfranchised at this time were your mother, your father, your brother Alvin, and yourself; correct?
A Yes.
Q okay. And your other siblings -- and I understand 10 of them are alive today -- or, I'm sorry, nine of them are alive today. Ten including yourself. were not born at the time your father was enfranchised; is that correct?
A Was Angeline born in '44?
Q Yes. When is her birthday in 1944? Do you know?
A Not offhand.
Q Thank you. Sir, if I put to you that on the documents that related to the enfranchisement that only yourself and Alvin were listed, would you have any information to the contrary?
MS. KENNEDY: This is the application -MS. LAFUENTE: Yeah.

MS. KENNEDY:
-- for enfranchisement. It's not the actual enfranchisement.

A No.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: So, sir, if you turn to page 31. In April of 1944, when your father signed this application, he listed his children as Alvin and Maurice. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q okay. So it's your information that sometime in 1944, your sister -- I'm sorry. I forgot which name you said.

A Angetine.
Q Angeline was born.
A I do believe, yes.
Q okay. On the application for enfranchisement, only Alvin and yourself are listed. okay. Your other siblings and the living ones which are listed in paragraph 8 of the Affidavit were not ever members of Sawridge band, were they?
A I don't recall.
Q okay. Sir, if we leave Angeline aside for a second, your next sister, Linda, was born in 1948. okay? And all the other children that you list in paragraph 8 of your Affidavit were born after 1948. You would agree with me, sir, that that is after your father was enfranchised; correct?
A It's when they were born, yeah.

Q okay. So they were not members of Sawridge when they were born; is that correct?

A I don't see how they wouldn't be members because my father was a member of Sawridge band.
Q okay. Sir, but you understand that your father was not a member of Sawridge band in 1948; correct?

A Yeah.
Q Right.
A But he enfranchised in 1944.
Q Right.
A But he was born a band member, making all of his children eligible and should be members of the Sawridge band.

Q okay. So you're of the opinion that they should be members --

A Well, they actually are members.
Q okay. I'm going to turn, then, to paragraph 9 of your Affidavit, and I'11 let you read paragraph 9. It's a little lengthy. Let me know when you're finished.

A Yeah.
Q okay. And you state in that paragraph, "I believe I am an acquired-rights member." Do you see that?

A Could you explain "acquired"?
Q Well, sir, those are your words in your Affidavit, so I'm wondering whether -- did you mean that you were automatically a member? Is that what you mean
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            by "acquired-rights member"? Sorry, sir?
    A Yes.
Q Thank you. Sir, what makes you believe that you are an automatic member?

A Because I was born a band member in 1941.
Q okay.
A And I am still a band member.
Q okay. Sir, you've had an opportunity to bring this issue to the courts on a number of occasions; is that correct?

A (No verbal response)
Q Sorry?
A Yes.
Q And has it not been made clear to you by the Courts that you are not an automatic member?

A I don't understand.
Q Have you been told by the Federal Court that you are not an automatic member of Sawridge?

A No.
Q okay. Sir, I'm going to take you to the decision of Justice Evans of the Federal Court of Appea1.

MS. KENNEDY:
MS. LAFUENTE:
MS. KENNEDY: see it.

MS. LAFUENTE:
A what year is it?

No.

Pardon me?
You can do that, but -- let's
okay.

MS. LAFUENTE: okay, sir. I've placed before you a decision of the Federal Court of Appeal, Docket Number A-326-98. Do you have that in front of you?

A Yes.
Q okay. And when -- sorry, sir. Halfway down the page, you see the plaintiffs listed. Do you see your name, Maurice Stoney, listed as a plaintiff in that action?

A Yes.
Q okay. And, sir, were you represented by counsel in this action? You had a lawyer, I should ask?

A Yes.
Q Mr. Abrahmets?
A Abrahmets, yeah.
Q Abrahmets? Thank you. Sir, I'm going to read you paragraphs 4 and 5 and 6 of this decision, and this is a decision of Justice Evans of the Federal Court of Appeal. (As read)

It was conceded by counsel for the respondents --

And the front page lists the
respondents as the plaintiffs.
-- that without the proposed amending paragraphs, the unamended Statement of Claim discloses no reasonable cause of action insofar
as it asserts or assumes that the respondents are entitled to band membership without the consent of the band. It is clear that until the band's membership rules are found to be invalid, they govern membership of the band, and the respondents have, at best, a right to apply for the band for membership -- sorry, to the band for membership. Accordingly, the Statement of Claim against the appellants, Walter Patrick Twinn as chief of the Sawridge Indian band and the Sawridge Indian band, will be struck as disclosing no reasonable cause of action.

MS. KENNEDY:
Do you see that, sir?
Those are what the words on the page say, and what the legal argument means and the intent that it has with respect to this particular proceeding are legal questions, and I will be making argument on them.
MS. LAFUENTE: Okay.
MS. KENNEDY: And Mr. Stoney will not be answering questions about legal interpretation. ms. LAFUENTE:
okay. I think my question to

Mr. Stoney was, had he ever been told by the Federal Court that he did not have an automatic right to membership, to which --
MS. KENNEDY: And --
MS. LAFUENTE: Just a second, Ms. Kennedy. To which he indicated he had not been told that.

MS. KENNEDY: No, and he had not. This is a judgment. He doesn't read --
MS. LAFUENTE: Of the Federal Court.
MS. KENNEDY:
-- judgments of the Federal Court. His lawyer may very well. What his lawyer says to him is a question of solicitor-client privilege, and I am telling you that, as his lawyer, I will be making legal arguments.
MS. LAFUENTE: okay.
MS. KENNEDY: That's the end of the questions on that.
MS. LAFUENTE: Well, I have a couple more questions.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: sir --
MS. KENNEDY:
Fine, but we're not going to be answering them.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, did you read the Federal Court of Appeal decision?

MS. KENNEDY: Don't answer that.
MS. LAFUENTE: You're objecting to the question of whether he read it?

MS. KENNEDY: Yes, I am.
MS. LAFUENTE:
okay. We'71 put your
objection on the record.
MS. KENNEDY: That's right.
OBJECTION TO QUESTION:
Sir, did you read the Federal Court of Appeal decision?
MS. LAFUENTE: I'd ask that this Federal Court of Appeal decision be marked for identification.

MS. KENNEDY:
It doesn't need to be marked for identification. It's clear what it is, and you can cite it any time you want.

MS. KENNEDY: I'm going to ask for it be marked for identification because we're going to probably need to proceed with these objections and deal with them, and it is going to be much easier for the court if we can identify what document we were each looking at. And there is no prejudice to you of marking it for identification purposes.

MS. KENNEDY:
No, and there is no need to do it either. Go ahead and do it.

MS. LAFUENTE:
Thank you.
MS. KENNEDY: You don't need one with an Exhibit 'D' stamp on it that's from Roland Twinn's Affidavit --

MS. LAFUENTE:
That's the one that I have, so
if you have a better copy today --
MS. KENNEDY: Yeah. You can produce a copy of the decision for the Court itself.

MS. LAFUENTE: No, the copy that I showed to
him today is the copy that we're producing for identification, and that's the one we have. And, again, there is no prejudice to you for the fact that it was once an exhibit in Roland Twinn's Affidavit, and if you think there is, you can mark that on the transcript, and we can deal with that later.

MS. KENNEDY: Mark that on the transcript. It's a Court decision. It should just be going in as the court decision. We don't need it from someone's Affidavit.

MS. LAFUENTE: okay.
MS. KENNEDY:
Subject to the marking --
MS. LAFUENTE:
No. It's going to be marked for identification. She is -- she is making note of her objection that it's got an exhibit stamp on the front.

EXHIBIT D-A FOR IDENTIFICATION:
Federal Court of Appeal Decision by Justice Evans on Court Docket Number docket Number A-326-98

Q MS. LAFUENTE:

All right. I'm also going to show you the May 15th, 2013, decision of Justice

Barnes.
MS. KENNEDY: Same thing. Mark it now.
MS. LAFUENTE:
okay. We'11 mark this one for identification, please.

I'm still going to ask
questions, so you might as we11 keep your copy.
MS. KENNEDY: Legal decisions speak for themselves rather than lawyers arguing about them. EXHIBIT D-B FOR IDENTIFICATION: Decision of Justice Barnes in Docket Number T923-12 dated May 15th, 2013

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, in front of you marked as Exhibit B for Identification is Docket T923-12, which is the decision of Mr. Justice Barnes dated May 15th, 2013, with respect to an appeal from the Appeals Committee's decision.

MS. KENNEDY:
It wasn't an appea1. It's a judicial review.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Judicial review of the Appeals Committee's decision to deny membership -- sorry, to deny your appeal with respect to Sawridge membership. Sir, I'm going to turn you to paragraph 8 of that decision, and it states that -oh, sorry. Backing up. Sir, you are identified on page 1 as the applicant in this decision. Do see that on page 1 ?

MS. KENNEDY: It speaks for itself.

Q
MS. LAFUENTE:
okay. The paragraph -returning, then, to paragraph 8 of the decision, it says: (As read) The applicants maintain that they each have an automatic right of membership in the Sawridge First Nation. Mr. Stoney states at paragraph 8 of his Affidavit of May 22nd, 2012, that this right arises from the provisions of Bi77 C-31.

Turning, then, to paragraph
15. Mr. Justice Barnes states at paragraph 15:
(As read)
I also cannot identify anything in Bi71 C-31 that would extend an automatic right of membership in the Sawridge First Nation to william Stoney. He lost his right to membership when his father sought and obtained enfranchisement for the family. The legislative amendments in Bil7 C-31 do not apply to that situation. Even if --

And, I'm sorry, turning to
paragraph 16.
MS. KENNEDY:
Let him read it.

You're not going to be answering anything on it.
MS. LAFUENTE: Ms. Kennedy, if you could just
wait until I'm finished before you provide your commentary, that would be appreciated.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: (As read)
Even if I am wrong in my
interpretation of these legistlative provisions, this application cannot be sustained, at least in terms of the applicant's claims to automatic band membership. All of the applicants in this proceeding, among others, were named as plaintiffs in an action filed in this court on May 6th, 1998, seeking mandatory relief requiring that their names be added to the Sawridge membership list. This action was struck out by the Federal Court of Appeal.
okay. Turning to paragraph
17: (As read)
It is not open to a party to relitigate the same issue that was conclusively determined in an earlier proceeding. The attempt by these applicants to reargue the
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question of their automatic right of membership in Sawridge is barred by the principle of issue estoppel. Sir, did you read the decision
of Justice Barnes?

MS. KENNEDY: He is not answering any of these questions. It's a legal decision. You've read the portions you want. That's what you want on the record.

MS. LAFUENTE: Well, what is the basis --
MS. KENNEDY: We can have the 1 egal argument.

MS. LAFUENTE:
okay. what's the basis for the objection that I cannot ask a question as to whether he read this decision?

MS. KENNEDY: I've given it. We'l7 argue about it in court.

MS. LAFUENTE: I'm not asking for his -- any legal analysis. I'm asking whether he read the decision. There is nothing improper with that question.

OBJECTION TO QUESTION:
Sir, did you read the decision of Justice Barnes?

MS. KENNEDY:
Have you read this decision?
A I won't answer that.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Pardon me?

A I won't answer that.
Q Okay. Turning back, then, to your Affidavit. At paragraph 11, you reference an action that was commenced by yourself, along with your cousins, against Sawridge as well as Chief Walter Twinn? Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q okay. Would you agree with me that you were named as a plaintiff in that action?
A Yes.
Q okay. And --
A We've seen this one?
MS. KENNEDY: Yeah. We've already gone through this all.
MS. LAFUENTE: Sorry?
MS. KENNEDY: We've gone through this all. You've already put it -- your Exhibit A.
A You asked questions on it already.
MS. KENNEDY: Yeah.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Well, I -- yes. Exhibit A refers to a decision in this action, but, sir, my question for you, would you agree with me that you sought in that action a right to membership within the Sawridge First Nation?

MS. KENNEDY:
He'd agree with you with what he says in the paragraph 11. In June 2000, the Federal Court of Appeal, giving the citation,
struck this action as a claim for judicial review improperly brought as an action.
MS. LAFUENTE: okay. That wasn't my question. My question --

MS. KENNEDY:
All right. Fine.
MS. LAFUENTE: My question is whether he would agree with me that he sought membership as one of the things he was claiming in this statement of Claim.

MS. KENNEDY: Court didn't say that.
MS. LAFUENTE: I'm not asking what the Court said. I'm asking what did his Amended Statement of Claim seek. So I'm going to put in front of you a --

MS. KENNEDY:
No, you're not.
MS. LAFUENTE:
I am putting in front of you a copy of the Amended Statement of claim.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, do you see the statement of Claim in front of you?

A Yes.
Q okay. And do you see your name on the front page as a plaintiff in that Statement of claim?
A Yes.
Q Going to turn, sir, to paragraph 37 of the Statement of claim.
MS. KENNEDY: This is an extraordinarily poor copy. Don't you have something better than
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this?
MS. LAFUENTE: Best copy that I have. It's no different than the copies of the documents that were attached to your Affidavit. There is --

MS. KENNEDY:
well, they're historical
documents.
MS. LAFUENTE: This is the copy of the document that I have, and it's the copy of the document that's actually been admitted into evidence in court prior.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: So turning to paragraph 37(c), sir, do you see where it says that you were seeking a declaration that the plaintiffs are members of the Sawridge band and entitled to all rights and benefits of such members?

MS. KENNEDY: That's not paragraph 37.
MS. LAFUENTE: 37 (c)?
MS. KENNEDY: No:
MS. LAFUENTE: oh, sorry. It's a claim for relief. It's not a numbered paragraph. It's just numbered (c). My apologies, sir.
MS. KENNEDY: Yes.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Do you see paragraph (c)? Do you agree with me, sir, that you sought relief in the Court wherein you were asking the Court for a declaration that you were entitled to membership of Sawridge band?

MS. KENNEDY:
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Claim?

MS. KENNEDY: plaintiff.

MS. LAFUENTE: I'm just asking him if this is his statement of Claim.

MS. KENNEDY: You can read what the statement of the plaintiffs are, and you understand what a legal proceeding is.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: okay. Sir, do you see, then --

MS. KENNEDY:
No. So are you objecting --

MS. LAFUENTE:
No, you --
-- to him answering the question as to whether or not the statement of Claim sought a declaration that he was a member?

MS. KENNEDY:
That's right, because it's a question of what the pleading says, which is a legal question, and you've referred to it, and that's it. Put it in as your exhibit.

MS. LAFUENTE:
Sure. I'm not asking him for -- as to what -- whether that -- what that means. I'm not asking for a legal interpretation. I'm just asking --

MS. KENNEDY:
MS. LAFUENTE:
No, but you're --
-- whether he saw it.

MS. KENNEDY: -- asking him to read the words on the page and then confirm that the words are on the page, which is the same thing as just putting the documents in.
MS. LAFUENTE: okay. So then I'm going to ask to put this document in as the next exhibit.
MS. KENNEDY: For identification.
MS. LAFUENTE: Sure.

EXHIBIT D-C FOR IDENTIFICATION:
Amended Statement of Claim
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, in this Statement of Claim in this action, were you represented by counsel?

MS. KENNEDY: He has already answered that.
MS. LAFUENTE: My apologies if he has already answered. So his answer previously, he was represented by counsel in this action?

MS. KENNEDY: Yes, he has.
MS. LAFUENTE:
okay. Thank you.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Okay, sir. Turning, then, back to your Affidavit at paragraph 12. You state, "A11 of our applications for membership in Sawridge were ignored." Can you tell me which applications you're referring to that were ignored?
A Applications for membership... Yes. If I recall, they were ignored.
Q Which applications were ignored?

A For band membership.
Q which application? On what occasion was it ignored?

A I don't understand what you're implying.
Q I'm -- sir, I'm not implying anything. I'm trying to understand what you mean by your sentence, "A11 of our applications for membership in Sawridge were ignored." what -- which applications were ignored?
A well, as of today, they're still ignored.
Q Sir --
A Nothing become (sic) of my request.
Q okay. Sir, do you mean, then, that they were ignored because they have not yet admitted you to membership? Is that what you mean?
A I don't -- I don't understand.
Q okay. okay. Sir, you did submit a paper application for membership; is that correct? To apply for membership?
A 1985, I believe, was it?
Q Sir, I don't have any information about an application made in 1985. Did you make such application?
A 1985, yeah. And I had to wait -- I sent it in in the spring, and I had to wait until that fall before I got my response.
Q Sir, are you sure that happened in 1985, or are you referring to the application that you submitted in

2011?
A 1985, because that's when we got our Treaty rights: 1985.

Q Sir, I'm going to ask for you to provide a copy of the application that you submitted in 1985, as we don't have any such documents in our possession.
A If we have one.
MS. KENNEDY: Yeah. I don't know if we do or not.
A Because it was -- I'm pretty sure it was April 1985. It was in the spring, anyway.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: okay.
A Because I was told that $I$ had to do that before the fall, fall session. I applied, and it took them 6 months before I got a response because they were waiting for their -- Sawridge were waiting for their documents they had sent in prior -- prior -or after I sent mine in. So I had to wait for whatever was going on then. Then I got my application in November -- October or November, I think, 1985 --
Q okay.
A -- stating that I am -- got my Treaty rights back and stuff like that, eh, which should have stated that I was a band member, a full band member.
Q okay. So I'm going to ask for you to provide a copy of that, of that application, and the response
that you received.
MS. KENNEDY:
We' 11 do our best if we have a copy. We may not anymore have a copy.

MS. LAFUENTE:
certain7y.
UNDERTAKING NO. 1:
To make best efforts to provide a copy of the application for band membership that was submitted in 1985.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, earlier, I handed your counsel -- and I believe she still has a copy -- of your band membership application form which was submitted -- this was, sorry, signed by you on August 30th, 2011. Can I ask you to have that in front of you again?
MS. KENNEDY:
MS. LAFUENTE:
What did you say the date was?
August 30th, 2011.
MS. KENNEDY: The one that says, "reapply"?
A Reapply.
MS. KENNEDY: If you look on --
A We had to reapply.
MS. KENNEDY:
Beginning of the first page:
"If this is an application for membership, please explain the basis of your application," and it says, "reapply"?
MS. LAFUENTE:
Yes. That is the document
that you have in front of you?
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Okay. Sir, on the page --
page 8 , is that your signature there, sir?
A Page 8? Yes.
Q okay. And you see above where it says, "certification"? And do you understand, sir, that you were certifying that everything in this application was true? Did you understand that, sir?

MS. KENNEDY:
Did you read that before you
signed it?
A Oh, right, the appeal. This is the application form?

MS. KENNEDY:
Yeah. Did you read this --
A Yeah. Yeah.
MS. KENNEDY: -- before you signed it?
A Yeah.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Yes. So you were -everything in it that you stated in this application, sir, was it true?
MS. KENNEDY: I don't think that he can answer that question without going back and reading every single line.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Okay. Sir, when you certified that everything was true on page 8 of the application, were you being truthful?

MS. KENNEDY:
Do you want to look through this? Because, you know, they've produced it. You haven't produced it.

A No. No, I won't answer them questions. MS. KENNEDY: Do you remember if --
A Leave it for the courts.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sorry, sir. Did you say that you won't answer that question and you're going to leave it for the courts?

A Yes.
Q okay.
A This last part here, to my knowledge, is biassed. From what I gather, it's biassed.
Q Sorry. what is biassed?
A This last certification. It says in one part, "no right of appeal." That's biassed, isn't it?
Q well, sir, if you -- if you read before that, it says that "I understand that if any of the information provided is found to be false or misleading, then this shall be sufficient grounds for the denial of my application, and there shall be no right of appea7."
A Yeah, I read that. Yeah.
Q okay. Sir, you're not going to answer the question as to whether you were -- you understood this -or, sorry, that you were certifying this to be true?

A No.
Q okay.
OBJECTION TO QUESTION:

Sir, when you certified that everything was true on page 8 of the application, were you being truthful?
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, were you truthful, then, when -- on page 1 when you checked off the box that said this was an application for membership in the band by a nonmember?
A It was... Reapp7y.
Q So you see where you checked off this is an application in the band by a nonmember?
A Meaning?
Q Did you check that box?
A By a nonmember? uh...
MS. KENNEDY: It's completely inconsistent with the rest of the file.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir --
A I won't answer that.
Q -- by submitting this application, you were submitting your request to become a member; is that correct?

MS. KENNEDY:
It states that it's an application to reapply for membership. That's what it states.

A Yeah.
MS. LAFUENTE:
Actually, it says it's an application for application for membership in the band by a nonmember. That is checked.

MS. KENNEDY:
It's --
MS. LAFUENTE:
where you're referring to the word "reapply," it says, "If this is an application for membership, please explain the basis for your application," and someone has written the word "reapply" there.

MS. KENNEDY:
Did you write that word?
A No. I don't remember writing that, no. I don't think so.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Okay. And, sir, you would agree with me that it -- when you were asked, how did you cease to be a member, you indicated that you were forced out?

A Yeah.
Q So when you submitted this application, sir, was it your intention that you were applying to become a member of the Sawridge First Nation?

A The one prior to this, yes. I was applying for it because I had to --
Q okay.
A -- apply for the band membership.
Q okay. And, sir, on page 5 of this application, item number 'E,' at the bottom of the page, it asks, "Do any current band members support your bid for membership?" and you ticked the box yes and wrote "chief and council." That wasn't true, was it?

A Yes, it was.
Q You had the support of chief and council at the time you submitted this application?
A well, not in writing. Verbally.
Q By whom?
A By one of the councillors.
Q okay. And, sir, you would agree with me that in 2011, this is after you had brought the statement of Claim suing the band for damages; right? you brought -- you brought your application for membership in 2011; correct? Sir, this document is 2011?

A I won't answer. I won't answer that.
Q Sir, this document was signed in 2011; correct?
MS: KENNEDY: That's when it's dated.
MS. LAFUENTE: okay.
MS. KENNEDY: The other document is a court document which has a date on it.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: okay. Sir, you were also involved prior to 2011 in starting a new band; is that correct?
MS. KENNEDY:
Don't answer that.
How does that relate to the
Affidavit?
MS. LAFUENTE: for membership.
MS. KENNEDY:
It relates to his application

There is nowhere in any of
the -- in any application in any court proceeding that I've ever seen that related to an application to some other band.

## (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD)

MS. LAFUENTE:
I'd like to identify -- sorry, mark as an exhibit for identification the full application document, which was the one -- it's the one you have in front of you. You had previously ripped one page off of it, but this is the full copy.

MS. KENNEDY: For identification.
MS. LAFUENTE: For identification.
EXHIBIT D-D FOR IDENTIFICATION: Application for band membership

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, ultimately, after your application was submitted in 2011 for membership, that application was denied. Do you understand that?

A Yes.
Q Yes? okay. And you appealed that, did you not?
MS. KENNEDY: No --- or -- to the --
MS. LAFUENTE:
Appea1 --
MS. KENNEDY:
-- Appeal Committee.
A Yes.
MS. LAFUENTE:
Yes.
MS. KENNEDY:
So clarify when you're saying a11 the various steps, please.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Okay. Sir, so you appealed that to the Appeal Committee?
A Yes.
Q okay. And that was dismissed; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q okay. And we've since referred to the decision of Justice Barnes, but I understand, sir, that you brought an application for judicial review of that decision to the Federal Court; is that correct?
A I did that?
MS. KENNEDY: Yes.
A Yeah.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Yes, you did, sir?
A res.
Q Yes? okay. Sir, are you aware that when Justice Barnes's decision was issued that you were ordered to pay costs to Sawridge First Nation?
MS. KENNEDY: He is not answering that question.
A No.
MS. LAFUENTE: And what is the basis for objecting to that?
MS. KENNEDY:
The issue of the costs and what happened with that has nothing to do with this proceeding.

MS. LAFUENTE:
Are you suggesting that the fact that he may not have paid costs owing to
him -- sorry, owing by him in a previous proceeding may not be relevant or is not relevant to him being added to this proceeding?

MS. KENNEDY:
Absolutely. He is claiming as a beneficiary. That doesn't have to do with the issue of costs in another proceeding.

MS. LAFUENTE:
Do you mean that he is
claiming to be added as a party or an intervener?
MS. KENNEDY:
He is claiming to be added as
a party because he is a beneficiary.
MS. LAFUENTE: You allege that he is a beneficiary.

MS. KENNEDY: That's right. we allege he is a beneficiary.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, I'm going to put to you that there were costs in the amount of $\$ 2,995.65$ plus interest payable to Sawridge First Nation as a result of the judicial review application and that you have not paid those costs.

MS. KENNEDY:
Don't answer the question.
OBJECTION TO QUESTION:
Sir, I'm going to put to you that there were costs in the amount of $\$ 2,995.65$ plus interest payable to Sawridge First Nation as a result of the judicial review application and that you have not paid those costs.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, did you appeal the decision of Justice Barnes to the Federal Court of Appeal?
MS. KENNEDY: You're well aware of whether or not a court proceeding has been appealed or not.
MS. LAFUENTE: And I'm asking your client whether he appealed it.
MS. KENNEDY: And you have no need to because you can search the court record, and you know whether he appealed it or not.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, I'm going to ask for your answer. Did you appeal this to the Federal Court of Appea1?

MS. KENNEDY:
Don't answer the question.
A I won't answer.
MS. LAFUENTE:
And the basis for --
MS. KENNEDY:
And he didn't raise any question in -- or paragraph in his Affidavit with respect to any appeal to the Federal court of Appeal.
MS. LAFUENTE: It's certainly within the confines of this application as to whether or not all of the issues he is attempting to raise now have been previously litigated, and what --

MS. KENNEDY:
That's right, and the question of that is a legal question, and we will be arguing about that in the application.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir --
MS. KENNEDY:
And we will be arguing about issue estoppel. That's quite correct. OBJECTION TO QUESTION: Did you appeal this to the Federal Court of Appea1?

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, in this matter that you are attempting now to become a party or an intervener of, did you seek an appeal of Justice Thomas's order and then go to -- sorry, and seek an extension of time to file an appeal of Justice Thomas's order?

MS. KENNEDY: With respect to a point from a decision in December of 2015?

MS. LAFUENTE: Yes.
MS. KENNEDY: You have the Court of Appeal decision of Mr. Justice watson. You can read it.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: okay. Sir, I'm going to put to you that you were ordered to pay costs in the amount of $\$ 898.70$ on June 14th of 2016 to Sawridge First Nation, and these costs are not paid. would you agree with that?

MS. KENNEDY: Well, that's not entirely correct because part of those costs are paid by setoff agreed to this morning with respect to the conduct money to be here this afternoon.
MS. BONORA: Ms. Kennedy, if you listen to
the question, it was we didn't set off the costs against the costs owing to Sawridge First Nation. We set off the costs owing to the Sawridge trustees. There were two sets of costs included in the appeal.

MS. KENNEDY:
well, you're here today, I assume, asking questions on behalf of the sawridge trustees. You're not here on behalf of asking questions for the Sawridge First Nation, and in fact, the Sawridge First Nation is not a party or an intervener to this action yet, and there will be no question with respect to costs payable to them.
MS. LAFUENTE:
okay. So if you would have listened carefully to the question I asked, about costs to the Sawridge First Nation.

MS. KENNEDY: Well, then don't answer it because it's not relevant. They're not a party to this proceeding or an intervener.

OBJECTION TO QUESTION: Sir, I'm going to put to you that you were ordered to pay costs in the amount of $\$ 898.70$ on June 14th of 2016 to Sawridge First Nation, and these costs are not paid. would you agree with that?
Q MS. LAFUENTE: okay. Sir, I just want to confirm as well there were costs payable to the trustees as a result of the dismissal of the time
to extend -- sorry, the extension of time application?

MS. KENNEDY: And as I just previous7y stated, those costs were set off against part of the moneys owed for conduct money to be here today.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Is it not true that there are -- there are -- even taking into account --

MS. KENNEDY: There are some costs
remaining. Part of those costs have been paid off by setoff in terms of being here today.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: okay. So, sir, what I'm asking, then -- and I'm asking for your answer -there are --

MS. KENNEDY:
And he is not giving it.
MS. LAFUENTE:
Ms. Kennedy, I find it very
difficult that you have not heard the question, and you are already indicating that your client is not going to answer it.

MS. KENNEDY: That's correct.
MS. LAFUENTE: I just want to put that on the record.

MS. KENNEDY:
That's fine. You go right
ahead and do that.
MS. LAFUENTE:
Prior to asking it, it's a little difficult to understand the basis of the objection.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, do you still owe costs to
the trustees for that application to the court of Appeal?

A I won't answer that.
OBJECTION TO QUESTION: Sir, do you still owe costs to the trustees for that application to the Court of Appeal?
Q MS. LAFUENTE: okay. Sir, turning, then, to paragraph 40 -- 4 -- sorry, 14 of your Affidavit, you indicate, "For 30 years I have been seeking to have my membership rights in sawridge be recognized." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q okay. And would you agree with me, sir, that includes the filing of the statement of Claim which was later struck? Did you do -- did you undertake those actions?

MS. KENNEDY: We've already dealt with that.
You've already --
MS. LAFUENTE:
I asked him --
MS. KENNEDY: -- asked questions on those, and he has answered, and we've got the --

MS. LAFUENTE:
MS. KENNEDY:
Q MS. LAFUENTE: sir, that you also applied for membership, which was denied --

MS. KENNEDY:
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Appeals Committee, an application for judicial review brought and denied; correct?

MS. KENNEDY: been answered.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: bring a human rights complaint against Sawridge First Nation?

A I won't answer that.
Q You won't answer that?
A No.
Q On what basis?
MS. KENNEDY:
Again, that's against the Sawridge First Nation. What does that have to do with an action to be added as a party or interested party as a beneficiary?

MS. LAFUENTE:
So, again, you keep
characterizing your application as an application to become a beneficiary.
MS. KENNEDY:
Yes.
MS. LAFUENTE:
It's an application to become a party or an intervener.

MS. KENNEDY:
MS. LAFUENTE:
MS. KENNEDY: complaint about?

As a beneficiary.
okay.
And what is the human rights

MS. LAFUENTE: okay. well, we can go to the human rights complaint.

OBJECTION TO QUESTION:
okay. And, sir, did you also bring a human rights complaint against Sawridge First Nation?

Q
MS. LAFUENTE:
Sir, I've put in front of you a letter addressed to Chief Roland Twinn of the Sawridge First Nation attaching the decision of the Canadian Human Rights Commission. This letter is dated April 29th, 2015. Do you see that in front of you?

A Yes.
Q okay. And I'm going to turn to the last page of this; which is a -- which is entitled the record of decision. okay. And it states at reasons for decision: (As read)

The complainant has been a party to two different proceedings before the Federal Court with respect to the matters raised in this complaint: an action against respondent which was struck by the Federal Court of Appeal in 2000 and an application for judicial review which was dismissed in May 2013. The essence of the complaint, i.e. the
$\qquad$
respondent's denial of the complainant's membership in the band, was central to both proceedings. The complainant clearly raised discrimination in his application for judicial review when he alleged that the decision violated the Charter; however, he did not provide adequate evidence for the Federal Court to overturn the decision of the respondent. The Supreme Court in Fig7iola held that Human Rights Commissions must respect the finality of decisions made by other administrative decisionmakers with concurrent jurisdiction to apply human rights legislation when the issues raised in both processes are the same. In
this instance, the other decision-makers are judges of the Federal Court and the Federal Court of Appeal and could have clearly considered the human rights allegations raised. Therefore, it would not be unfair for the Commission to decide not to deal
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persons who qualified as members of the Sawridge Indian Band pursuant to the provisions of the Indian Act as of April 15th, 1982?

A No, I don't --
MS. KENNEDY: No, not --
A No.
MS. KENNEDY:
Not 1982. The wording of the 1985 trust beneficiaries does not state that. It says April the 15th, 1985.
(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD)
MS. KENNEDY:
Yeah. He can't answer that because it's an unconstitutional provision, and that's a legal argument.

OBJECTION TO QUESTION:
Sir, do you understand that, regarding the 1985 trust, "beneficiaries" means all persons who qualified as members of the Sawridge Indian Band pursuant to the provisions of the Indian ACt as of April 15th, 1982?
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, were you a member of the band -- or, sorry, did you qualify as a member of the band on April 15th, 1982?

MS. KENNEDY:
Don't answer that because that as well relates to a constitutional argument. OBJECTION TO QUESTION:
Did you qualify as a member of the band
A.C.E. Reporting Services Inc.
on April 15th, 1982?
Q
MS. LAFUENTE:
Sir, you were enfranchised in 1944; correct? Correct?

A Correct.
Q Had anything happened that changed that by April 15th, 1982?

MS. KENNEDY: Something happened on April the 17th, 1982, that quite substantially changed that, and that is that aboriginal rights and Treaty rights became constitutional rights.

MS. LAFUENTE: And I think you said April the 17th?

MS. KENNEDY:
I did.
mis. LAFUENTE:
And I asked about April the 15th, 1982.

MS. KENNEDY:
That's right, and after that
date --
MS. LAFUENTE:
Right --
MS. KENNEDY: -- you cannot refer back to something previous --

MS. LAFUENTE: okay.
MS. KENNEDY: -- to the changes to the Constitution.

MS. LAFUENTE:
okay. So --
MS. KENNEDY:
And that's a legal argument.
Q MS. LAFUENTE:
MS. KENNEDY:

So my question was, had any --
And he won't be answering it.

MS. LAFUENTE: No. Again, just please wait until $I$ can get it on the record.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Had any --
MS. KENNEDY:
Sure. You've got it on the record.

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Had anything changed as of April 15th, 1982, where you were identified that -sorry, you were advised that you qualified as a member after having become enfranchised in 1944 ?
MS. KENNEDY:
Don't answer that.
A I won't answer it.
MS. LAFUENTE: okay.
OBJECTION TO QUESTION:
Had anything changed as of April 15th, 1982, where you were identified that -sorry, you were advised that you qualified as a member after having become enfranchised in 1944?
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, do you understand that with respect to the 1986 trust, beneficiary status is restricted to members?
A won't answer it.
Q why not?
MS. KENNEDY: Well, first of all, because he doesn't even have a document in front of him.

## OBJECTION TO QUESTION:

Sir, do you understand that with respect
to the 1986 trust, beneficiary status is restricted to members?

Q MS. LAFUENTE: the 1985 trust?

A I won't answer that.
Q Why won't you answer that question? It's factual, sir. Have you read it?

MS. KENNEDY:
MS. LAFUENTE:
A No.
MS. LAFUENTE:
-- Ms. Kennedy, are you
saying "no" as in he shouldn't answer the question, or are you providing him an answer?

MS. KENNEDY:
I'm telling him no because
it's a legal -- we're talking about legal arguments with respect to these documents.

MS. LAFUENTE: So your word "no" is meant to advise him not to answer the question, the factual question, as to whether he has read the trust deed?

MS. KENNEDY: That's right.
MS. LAFUENTE: okay.
OBJECTION TO QUESTION:
Sir, have you ever read the 1985 trust?
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, have you read the 1986
trust deed?
A I won't answer that.

OBJECTION TO QUESTION:
Sir, have you read the 1986 trust deed?
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, going back to your
Affidavit -- just for clarity on the record, I just want to confirm that our earlier discussions as to whether the trust deed referred to April 15th, 1985, or April 15th, 1982, we were able to clarify by reading into the record the exact wording of the 1985 trust deed.

MS. KENNEDY: trust deed.

MS. LAFUENTE:
Correct.
MS. KENNEDY:
And the wording of the whole trust deed is significant in order to determine what those provisions mean.
MS. LAFUENTE:
Okay. But, Ms. Kennedy, the concern that you raised earlier was you were quite certain that it said April 15th, 1985, and we've just clarified that it says April 15th, 1982. That's the part that I'm saying we've clarified.
MS. KENNEDY:
But the part that I'm saying is that it relates to all of the words related to that trust deed.
MS. LAFUENTE: okay.
MS. KENNEDY: And --
MS. LAFUENTE: But you don't agree with me that it says April 15th, 1982?

MS. KENNEDY: yes.

MS. LAFUENTE:
MS. KENNEDY: to the rest of it is the wording in the trust deed which is what we're arguing about before the court.

MS. LAFUENTE:
And that's what you're attempting to bring before this court by being added as a party.

MS. KENNEDY:
That's what we're arguing in terms of our ability to be before the Court as a beneficiary.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: Okay. Sir, going back to paragraph 12 of your Affidavit, we talked about this first sentence here before, "All of our applications for membership in Sawridge were ignored," and we were focussing on your application. Can you tell me whose applications you mean when you say "our applications," the word O-U-R?

A I won't answer it.
Q Why won't you answer that, sir? It's your Affidavit, and I want to know what you mean when you say, "Our applications were ignored."

A Did you ask that question before?
Q No. I'm asking what you mean by the word, "Our" -the words, "our applications." whose applications?

A No, I won't answer that.
Q Sir, why aren't you answering that question?
A I'11 leave it up to the courts.
Q You -- I'm going to point out that your counsel did not put an objection on the record but that you are refusing the answer the question because you want to leave it up to the courts.
MS. KENNEDY: That's what he said.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: okay. So, sir --
MS. KENNEDY: You don't have to repeat it.
Q MS. LAFUENTE: -- how is the Court supposed to -- how is the Court supposed to understand what you mean by the word "our" if you won't tell us what you mean?

MS. KENNEDY: Okay. Now, let's not get into arguments with him, and that's what you're doing by characterizing the way he has made an answer. He has made an answer. You may not like it, but he has made an answer.

MS. LAFUENTE: Okay. OBJECTION TO QUESTION:
okay. Sir, going back to paragraph 12 of your Affidavit, we talked about this first sentence here before, "Al1 of our applications for membership in Sawridge were ignored," and we were focussing on your application. Can you tell me whose
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applications you mean when you say "our
applications," the word O-U-R?

Q MS. LAFUENTE:

Sir, do you have any information as to whether your siblings have applied for membership in Sawridge First Nation?
A Siblings? Yes, some of them -- some of them did, but they were all denied.
Q Who -- who made application?
A uh, brothers.
Q which brothers?
A Bill.
Q okay.
A And... I just can't recall right now. I'd have to -- I'd have to look at their response and stuff like that.

Q But you've brought this application --
A They did --
Q -- on behalf of all of you.
A They were supposed to send in their applications because we talked about this before, and -- and I told them that maybe you should do -- maybe you should be sending in your applications.
Q okay.
A But -- now, whether it's -- they've done it or not, I'm not really sure. I can't answer that.
Q okay. okay.
A And another thing, if they did, they were -- it
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would be automatically thrown out anyways. Their point of view would be, what is the use?
MS. LAFUENTE: $\quad$ sir, I probably onty have a few more questions for you, so I'm going to suggest we just take a 10 -minute break, if that's okay with you, and then we'11 reconvene and hopefully finish up quite quickly. okay?

## (ADJOURNMENT)

Q MS. LAFUENTE: Sir, earlier, you had indicated that you were bringing this application and representing your brothers and sisters in doing so. Can you tell me, are any of them incapacitated and unable to represent themselves in this litigation, in this application?
A I won't answer that.
MS. KENNEDY:
I'm going to tell you that I have done a number of actions in $Q B$ and in the Federal Court as representative actions where one brother or sister acts for the entire family, and that is the standard method of proceeding, and that is the method of proceeding that's been used since 1997.

MS. LAFUENTE:
So is the answer that they are incapacitated or this is the choice?

MS. KENNEDY:
MS. LAFUENTE: action?

This is the choice.
And this is a representative


MS. KENNEDY:
Yes. On behalf of a family, yes. That's the way you go. Each of them have exactly the same characteristics. They're all members of the same family. They all have the same interest.

MS. LAFUENTE:
okay. In going through what we've asked and what's been answered and what has been objected to today, it's clear that we have a very different view as to what's relevant and what ought to be answered, and so, today, we're going to adjourn --
MS. KENNEDY:
Sure.
-- this questioning, and we'll proceed after we deal with the application -sorry, the objections --
MS. KENNEDY: Sure.
MS. LAFUENTE: -- and get some further court direction as to that.
MS. KENNEDY: Yeah. okay. Good.
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