


COURT OF APPEAL OF ALBERTA

COURT OF APPEAL FILE NO.: 1703-0195AC
TRIAL COURT FILE NO.: 1103 14112
REGISTRY OFFICE: Edmonton
APPLICANTS: MAURICE FELIX STONEY AND HIS BROTHERS AND SISTERS
STATUS ON APPEAL: Appellant
STATUS ON APPLICATION: Respondent
RESPONDENTS (ORIGINAL APPLICANTS): ROLAND TWINN, CATHERINE TWINN, WALTER FELIX TWIN, BERTHA L'HIRONDELLE AND CLARA MIDBO, AS TRUSTEES FOR THE 1985 SAWRIDGE TRUST (the "1985 Sawridge Trustees" of "Trustees")
STATUS ON APPEAL: Respondent
STATUS ON APPLICATION: Respondent
INTERVENOR: THE SAWRIDGE BAND (the "Band" or "SFN")
STATUS ON APPEAL: Respondent
STATUS ON APPLICATION: Respondent
INTERESTED PARTY: PRISCILLA KENNEDY, Counsel for Maurice Felix Stoney and His Brothers and Sisters
STATUS ON APPEAL: Proposed Intervenor
STATUS ON APPLICATION: Applicant
RESPONDENT: PUBLIC TRUSTEE OF ALBERTA ("OPTG")
STATUS ON APPEAL: Not a party to the Appeal
STATUS ON APPLICATION: Not a party to the Application
DOCUMENT: **APPLICATION OF PRISCILLA KENNEDY FOR INTERVENOR STATUS IN THE APPEAL OF SAWRIDGE #6**



ADDRESS FOR SERVICE AND
CONTACT INFORMATION OF
PARTY FILING THIS
DOCUMENT:

Field LLP
2500, 10175 - 101 Street
Edmonton, Alberta T5J OH3
Attention: P. Jonathan Faulds, QC
Phone: 780-423-7625
Fax: 780-429-9329
Email: jfaulds@fieldlaw.com
File: 65063-1

and

CONTACT INFORMATION OF
ALL OTHER PARTIES:

Maurice Felix Stoney
500 4th Street NW
Slave Lake, AB T0G 2A1
Phone: 780 516 1143
Fax: 780 849 3128

DENTONS LLP
2900 Manulife Place
10180-101 Street NW
Edmonton, AB T5J 3V5
Attention: Doris Bonora & Erin Lafuente
Phone: 780 423 7188
Fax: 780 423 7276
Email: doris.bonora@dentons.com
Counsel for the Sawridge Trustees

PARLEE MCLAWS LLP
1700 Enbridge Centre
10175-101 Street NW
Edmonton, AB T5J 0H3
Attention: Edward Molstad, QC & Ellery Sopko
Phone: 780 423 8500
Fax: 780 423 2870
Email: emolstad@parlee.com
Counsel for The Sawridge Band

NOTICE TO RESPONDENT(S):

MAURICE FELIX STONEY AND HIS BROTHERS AND
SISTERS; ROLAND TWINN, CATHERINE TWINN, WALTER
FELIX TWINN, BERTHA L'HIRONDELLE AND CLARA
MIDBO, AS TRUSTEES FOR THE 1985 SAWRIDGE TRUST;
and THE SAWRIDGE BAND

WARNING

If you do not come to Court on the date and time shown below either in person or by your lawyer, the Court may give the applicant what it wants in your absence. You will be bound by any order that the Court makes. If you intend to rely on other evidence or a memorandum in support of your position when the application is heard or considered, you must file and serve those documents in compliance with the Rules. (Rule 14.41 and 14.43)

NOTICE TO RESPONDENT(S):

You have the right to state your side of this matter before the Court.

To do so, you must be in Court when the application is heard as shown below:

Date: Tuesday, November 21, 2017

Time: ~~10:00 am~~ 9:30am

Where: Law Courts Building, 1A Sir Winston Churchill Square, Edmonton, Alberta

Before: single judge of the court (Rule 14.37)
 panel of the court (Rule 14.38)

Nature of Application and Relief Sought:

1. This is an application by Priscilla Kennedy, a barrister and solicitor, for:
 - intervenor status in the within appeal,
 - the consolidation or joint hearing of this appeal with Kennedy's appeals in two related decisions by the same court, and
 - directions respecting the steps for the consolidated or joint hearing.

Grounds for making this application:

2. The within appeal has been brought by the appellant, Maurice Stoney, acting on his own behalf, from the July 12, 2017 decision of Thomas J, the Case Management Justice (the CMJ). In that decision referred to as *Sawridge #6* the CMJ:
 - dismissed an application brought by Kennedy on behalf of Stoney,
 - concluded the application involved serious litigation misconduct,
 - awarded costs on a solicitor and own client indemnity basis against Stoney,
 - directed that Kennedy appear before the CMJ to show cause why she should not be personally liable for those costs, and
 - ordered that Stoney show cause why he should not be declared a vexatious litigant.

3. As a result of the show cause hearing concerning Kennedy's personal liability for costs the CMJ issued a further decision referred to as *Sawridge #7* in which he found Kennedy had engaged in serious misconduct and made her jointly and severally liable for the costs awarded in *Sawridge #6*. The CMJ also forwarded a copy of his decision to the Law Society of Alberta for review with respect to Kennedy. Kennedy has appealed the decision in *Sawridge #7* (Court of Appeal File No. 1703-0239AC).
4. As a result of the vexatious litigant hearing concerning Stoney the CMJ issued a further decision referred to as *Sawridge #8* in which the CMJ made a Court Access Control Order against Stoney. The Court also made a further finding of misconduct against Kennedy and forwarded a copy of this decision to the Law Society of Alberta for review with respect to Kennedy. Kennedy has appealed the decision in *Sawridge #8* insofar as it concerns her (Court of Appeal File No. 1703-0252AC).
5. The CMJ's decision in *Sawridge #6* is the foundation for the adverse findings and sanctions against Kennedy in *Sawridge #7 and #8*. It also establishes the scale of costs for which she has been held personally liable. Kennedy seeks intervenor status in this appeal with respect to the issues directly affecting her, as follows:
 - Did the CMJ err in finding the application on behalf of Stoney was futile and abusive and vexatious in nature warranting an award of costs against Stoney on a solicitor and own client indemnity basis?
 - Did the CMJ err in concluding that Kennedy advanced a futile application on behalf of Stoney, which was of an abusive and vexatious nature, and amounted to an attempt "to involve other third parties on a 'busybody' basis" warranting a show cause hearing as to her personal liability for costs and a referral to the Law Society of Alberta?
 - Such further issues as may be identified during oral argument of this application.
6. Kennedy meets the test for intervenor status because she is directly and significantly affected by the outcome of Stoney's appeal of *Sawridge #6*, and has experience and an

informed perspective on the subject matter of the appeal that is useful for its resolution.
More particularly:

- Kennedy will be directly affected by the outcome of the appeal in *Sawridge #6*, and her interests are not fully protected by the parties to the appeal of *Sawridge #6*;
 - Kennedy's participation as an intervenor on the issues identified above is necessary for the Court to properly decide Stoney's appeal of *Sawridge #6*, as well as Kennedy's pending appeals of *Sawridge #7* and *Sawridge #8*;
 - Kennedy's submissions will be useful and different from other parties to the appeal of *Sawridge #6* and will be of particular assistance as Stoney is unrepresented in this appeal;
 - Kennedy's participation as an intervenor will not unduly delay the proceedings, and will not prejudice the parties, nor widen the *lis* between the parties.
7. Given the relationship between the facts and decisions in *Sawridge #6*, *#7*, and *#8*, it would be appropriate, just, and convenient that the appeals from those decisions be consolidated or heard together.

Material or evidence to be relied on:

8. The Affidavit of Priscilla Kennedy, filed on September 29, 2017 in support of the Application of Priscilla Kennedy for Advice and Direction on Permission to Appeal and Permission to Appeal if Required (re *Sawridge #7*) in Court of Appeal File No. 1703-0239AC.

Applicable Acts, regulations and rules:

9. Alberta Rules of Court, Rule 14.37(2)(e), 14.40, 14.53, 14.54, and 14.58.